



1. [5] The time interval between the arrival of trains at Sharif Metro Station in the morning follows an exponential distribution with parameter λ . If x_1, \dots, x_N are independent samples of the time between the arrival of two trains at this station in the morning, Find a *minimal sufficient statistic* for λ .

Solution:

The PDF of *exponential* distribution is:

$$f(x; \lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \text{ if } x \geq 0 \text{ else } 0$$

It can be shown that $T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i$ is an *minimal sufficient statistic* for λ . For this purpose, The following condition must hold for any two sets of samples $X = (x_1, \dots, x_N), Y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$.

$$\frac{f(X; \lambda)}{f(Y; \lambda)} \text{ is independent of } \lambda \Leftrightarrow T(X) = T(Y)$$

In our case:

$$\frac{f(X; \lambda)}{f(Y; \lambda)} = \frac{\lambda^N \exp(-\lambda \sum_i x_i)}{\lambda^N \exp(-\lambda \sum_i y_i)} = \exp\left(-\lambda \left(\sum_i x_i - \sum_i y_i\right)\right).$$

$$\frac{f(X; \lambda)}{f(Y; \lambda)} \text{ is independent of } \lambda \Rightarrow \sum_i x_i - \sum_i y_i = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_i x_i = \sum_i y_i \Rightarrow T(X) = T(Y)$$

$$T(X) = T(Y) \Rightarrow \sum_i x_i = \sum_i y_i \Rightarrow \frac{f(X; \lambda)}{f(Y; \lambda)} = 1$$

So $T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i$ is a *minimal sufficient statistic*.

2. [10] Let X_1, \dots, X_N be iid with PDF:

$$f(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{\theta} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\theta}\right), & x > 0 \\ 0, & x \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

- (a) Find a scalar (one-dimensional) *sufficient statistic* for θ using *factorization theorem*.
 (b) Find the *method of moments* estimator of θ .

Solution:

(a) The joint PDF of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N is given as:

$$f(X; \theta) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{X_i}{\theta} \exp\left(-\frac{X_i^2}{2\theta}\right), & X_i > 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This simplifies to:

$$f(X; \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\prod_i X_i}{\theta^N} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_i X_i^2}{2\theta}\right), & \min(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N) > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

And:

$$f(X; \theta) = \left(\prod_i X_i\right) \left(\frac{1}{\theta^N}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_i X_i^2}{2\theta}\right) I(\min(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N) > 0)$$

So If $T = \sum_i X_i^2$, $g(T|\theta) = \left(\frac{1}{\theta^N}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{T}{2\theta}\right)$, and $h(X) = \left(\prod_i X_i\right) I(\min(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N) > 0)$, we can write PDF as:

$$f(X; \theta) = g(T|\theta)h(X)$$

By the *factorization theorem*, $T = \sum_i X_i^2$ is a **sufficient statistic** for θ .

(b) Expected value is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} E_f[x] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x; \theta) dx = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^2}{\theta} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\theta}\right) dx \\ &= -x \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\theta}\right) \Big|_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\theta}\right) dx \end{aligned}$$

Considering the symmetry of $N(0, \theta)$ and its CDF:

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\theta}\right) dx = \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\theta}\right) dx = \frac{1}{2}$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} E_f[x] &= 0 + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2\pi\theta} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi\theta}{2}} \\ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N X_i}{N} &= \sqrt{\frac{\pi\theta}{2}} \Rightarrow \hat{\theta} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N X_i}{N} \end{aligned}$$

3. [20] Suppose that the random variables Y_1, \dots, Y_n satisfy

$$Y_i = \beta x_i + e_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where x_1, \dots, x_n are fixed known constants and e_1, \dots, e_n are iid samples from $N(0, \sigma^2)$.

(a) Find the *MLE* of β , and show that it is an unbiased estimator of β .

(b) Calculate the mean and variance of $S = \frac{\sum Y_i}{\sum x_i}$ as an estimator for β , and then compare it to the *MLE* of β .

Solution:

(a) We know Y_i are iid samples from $N(\beta x_i, \sigma^2)$. So likelihood function is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\beta, \sigma^2 | Y) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (Y_i - \beta x_i)^2 \right) \\ &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i^2 - 2\beta x_i Y_i + \beta^2 x_i^2) \right) \\ &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 - 2\beta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i Y_i + \beta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

The log-likelihood function is:

$$\log L(\beta, \sigma^2 | Y) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta x_i)^2$$

Taking the derivative with respect to β and setting it to zero:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \log L &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i (Y_i - \beta x_i) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\beta} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} \end{aligned}$$

The second derivative is:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta^2} \log L = -\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 < 0$$

Thus, $\hat{\beta}$ is the MLE and it is unbiased because:

$$E[\hat{\beta}] = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i E[Y_i]}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \beta x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} = \beta$$

(b) $Var(\hat{\beta}) = Var(\sum_i a_i Y_i)$ where $a_i = \frac{x_i}{\sum_j x_j^2}$ are constants. So variance of $\hat{\beta}$ is:

$$Var(\hat{\beta}) = \sum_i a_i^2 Var(Y_i) = \sum_i \left(\frac{x_i}{\sum_j x_j^2} \right)^2 \sigma^2 = \frac{\sum_i x_i^2}{(\sum_j x_j^2)^2} \sigma^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i x_i^2}$$

For S :

$$E[S] = E \left[\frac{\sum Y_i}{\sum x_i} \right] = \frac{1}{\sum x_i} \sum_i E[Y_i] = \frac{1}{\sum x_i} \sum_i \beta x_i = \beta$$

Therefore S like $\hat{\beta}$ is unbiased.

$$Var(S) = Var \left(\frac{\sum Y_i}{\sum x_i} \right) = \frac{1}{(\sum x_i)^2} \sum_i Var(Y_i) = \frac{\sum_i \sigma^2}{(\sum x_i)^2} = \frac{n\sigma^2}{n^2 \bar{x}^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n\bar{x}^2}$$

Note that $\bar{x} = \frac{\sum_i x_i}{n}$. To compare with $\hat{\beta}$ variance, we use following inequality:

$$\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = \sum_i x_i^2 - 2\bar{x} \sum_i x_i + \sum_i \bar{x}^2 = \sum_i x_i^2 - 2\bar{x}(n\bar{x}) + n\bar{x}^2 = \sum_i x_i^2 - n\bar{x}^2$$

$$\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \geq 0 \Rightarrow \sum_i x_i^2 \geq n\bar{x}^2$$

Hence,

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i x_i^2} \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n\bar{x}^2} = \text{Var}(S)$$

4. [10] Let x_1, \dots, x_N are iid samples from a distribution with PDF as follows:

$$f(x; \theta) = 2e^{-|x-\theta|}$$

Find the *MLE* of θ .

Solution:

The likelihood function is:

$$L(\theta|X) = \prod_{i=1}^N 2e^{-|x_i-\theta|} = 2^N e^{-\sum_{i=1}^N |x_i-\theta|}.$$

To maximize this function, we should minimize $\sum_{i=1}^N |x_i - \theta|$.

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} L(\theta|X) = \arg \min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^N |x_i - \theta|.$$

We claim that the minimum of the $\sum_{i=1}^N |x_i - \theta|$ occurs in the median of x_1, \dots, x_N . Without loss of generality, assume that all the x_i s are sorted in ascending order. Also define $g(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N |x_i - t|$.

- Lemma 1: $\hat{\theta}$ lies on one of the x_i s. (If n is even, there is more than one optimal solution.)

- Proof: Prove it by the converse. Suppose that $\hat{\theta}$ is between two points x_j and x_{j+1} . If $j \geq (N-j)$, then by moving $\hat{\theta}$ to x_j the value of $g(\hat{\theta})$ decreases by the value $(j - (N-j))(\theta - x_j)$. Otherwise, by moving $\hat{\theta}$ to x_{j+1} , the value of $g(\hat{\theta})$ decreases by the value $((N-j) - j)(x_{j+1} - \theta)$. So we only consider x_i s to find optimal solution.

So we only have to consider $g(x_i)$ for $i = x_1, \dots, x_N$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_k) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_k - x_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^N x_i - x_k = ((k-1) - (N-k))x_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} -x_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^N x_i \\ &= (2k - N - 1)x_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} -x_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^N x_i \end{aligned}$$

Consider the difference $d_k = g(x_k) - g(x_{k+1})$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} d_k &= (2k - N - 1)x_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} -x_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^N x_i - \left((2(k+1) - N - 1)x_{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^k -x_i + \sum_{i=k+2}^N x_i \right) \\ &= x_k + x_{k+1} + (2k - N - 1)x_k - (2k - N + 1)x_{k+1} = (2k - N)x_k - (2k - N)x_{k+1} = (2k - N)(x_k - x_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

- Lemma 2: $g(t)$ is convex.

- Proof: Due to the continuity of function $g(t)$, it is only sufficient to check the following condition:

$$g\left(\frac{t_1 + t_2}{2}\right) \leq \frac{g(t_1) + g(t_2)}{2}$$

By triangle inequality:

$$\left|x - \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2}\right| = \left|\frac{x - t_1}{2} + \frac{x - t_2}{2}\right| \leq \left|\frac{x - t_1}{2}\right| + \left|\frac{x - t_2}{2}\right|$$

Hence:

$$g\left(\frac{t_1 + t_2}{2}\right) = \sum_i \left|x_i - \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2}\right| \leq \sum_i \left|\frac{x_i - t_1}{2}\right| + \left|\frac{x_i - t_2}{2}\right| = \frac{g(t_1) + g(t_2)}{2}$$

Since $g(t)$ is convex and the x_i s are ordered, the minimum will be attained at x_k , where k is the smallest integer, such that $d_k \leq 0$. This is equivalent to $(2k - N)(x_k - x_{k+1}) \leq 0$. As the x_k are ordered ($x_k - x_{k+1} < 0$), this is only possible if $2k - N \geq 0$. Therefore the minimum is attained at x_k for k being the smallest integer k , such that $2k \geq N$, this is $\lceil k = \frac{N}{2} \rceil$, the median of x_i s.

Note that if N is even, all the numbers in interval $[x_{\frac{N}{2}}, x_{\frac{N}{2}+1}]$ are optimal.

5. [15] Let X_1, \dots, X_N be iid with PDF:

$$f(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} e^{(\theta-x)}, & x \geq \theta \\ 0, & x < \theta \end{cases}$$

- (a) Find a *complete sufficient statistic* for θ .
- (b) Use this sufficient statistic and calculate *UMVUE* for θ .

Solution:

- (a) The joint PDF of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N is given as:

$$f(X; \theta) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^N \exp(\theta - X_i), & X_i \geq \theta \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This simplifies to:

$$f(X; \theta) = \begin{cases} \exp(N\theta) \cdot \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^N X_i\right), & \min(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N) \geq \theta \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

And:

$$f(X; \theta) = \exp(N\theta) \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^N X_i\right) I(\min(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N) \geq \theta)$$

So If $T = \min(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N)$, $g(T|\theta) = \exp(N\theta) I(T \geq \theta)$, and $h(X) = \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^N X_i\right)$, we can write PDF as:

$$f(X; \theta) = g(T|\theta)h(X)$$

By the *factorization theorem*, $T = \min(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ is a **sufficient statistic** for θ .

To prove completeness, we need PDF of T . The CDF of T is:

$$F_T(t) = P(T \leq t) = 1 - P(T > t) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^N P(X_i > t) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^N e^{\theta-t} = 1 - e^{N(\theta-t)}, \quad t \geq \theta.$$

For the above calculations, we used the CDF of $f(x; \theta)$, which is given below.

$$P(x > t) = 1 - \int_{-\infty}^t f(u; \theta) du = 1 - \int_{\theta}^t \exp(\theta - u) du = 1 - \left(-e^{\theta-t} + e^{\theta-\theta} \right) = e^{\theta-t}.$$

Differentiating the CDF gives the PDF of T :

$$f_T(t; \theta) = Ne^{N(\theta-t)}, \quad t \geq \theta$$

Now assume there exists a function $g(t)$ such that:

$$E_{\theta}[g(\min(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N))] = 0 \quad \text{for all } \theta.$$

The expectation can be written as:

$$E_{\theta}[g(T)] = \int_{\theta}^{\infty} g(t) Ne^{N(\theta-t)} dt = 0 \quad \forall \theta.$$

Since the result of the integral with respect to θ is constant, its derivative with respect to θ must be zero.

$$\Rightarrow -g(\theta)Ne^{N(\theta-\theta)} = 0 \quad \forall \theta \quad \Rightarrow g(\theta) = 0 \quad \forall \theta$$

This proves that $T = \min(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ is *complete*.

(b) The expected value of $T = \min(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N)$ is:

$$E[T] = \int_{\theta}^{\infty} t \cdot Ne^{N(\theta-t)} dt.$$

Substitute $u = t - \theta$, so $t = u + \theta$ and $dt = du$. The limits of integration become $u \in [0, \infty)$, and:

$$E[T] = \int_0^{\infty} (\theta + u) \cdot Ne^{-Nu} du.$$

Split the integral:

$$E[T] = \theta \int_0^{\infty} Ne^{-Nu} du + \int_0^{\infty} u \cdot Ne^{-Nu} du.$$

The first term evaluates to:

$$\int_0^{\infty} Ne^{-Nu} du = -e^{-N(\infty)} + e^{-N(0)} = 1.$$

The second term evaluates to:

$$\int_0^{\infty} u \cdot Ne^{-Nu} du = -u \cdot e^{-Nu} \Big|_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} e^{-Nu} du = 0 + \frac{-e^{-N(\infty)} + e^{-N(0)}}{N} = \frac{1}{N}.$$

Thus:

$$E[T] = \theta + \frac{1}{N}.$$

To construct an unbiased estimator of θ , subtract $\frac{1}{N}$ from T :

$$\hat{\theta} = T - \frac{1}{N}.$$

So $T = \min(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N)$ is a sufficient and complete statistic for θ and $\phi(T) = T - \frac{1}{N}$ is an unbiased estimator of θ . By the *Lehmann-Scheffé theorem*, $\min(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N) - \frac{1}{N}$ is the **UMVUE**.

6. [20] Let x_1, \dots, x_N are iid samples from the following distribution:

$$f(x; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-\frac{x-\alpha}{\beta}}, \quad x \geq \alpha, \quad \beta > 0$$

Find the *UMVUE* for α and β .

(Hint: Show *MLE* of these parameters are *complete sufficient statistics*.)

Solution:

The likelihood function is:

$$f(X; \alpha, \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^N f(x_i; \alpha, \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-\frac{x_i-\alpha}{\beta}} I(x_i \geq \alpha) = \frac{1}{\beta^N} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_i (x_i - \alpha)}{\beta}\right) I(\forall_i : x_i \geq \alpha)$$

Define $c = \min(x_1, \dots, x_N)$.

$$f(X; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\beta^N} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_i x_i}{\beta} + \frac{N\alpha}{\beta}\right) I(c \geq \alpha)$$

To find the *MLE*, first focus on α .

$$\hat{\alpha} = \arg \max_{\alpha} f(X; \alpha, \beta) = \arg \max_{\alpha} \exp\left(\frac{N\alpha}{\beta}\right) I(c \geq \alpha)$$

Since $\exp\left(\frac{N\alpha}{\beta}\right)$, is an increasing function of α , $\hat{\alpha}$ should be the largest number which $I(c \geq \alpha) = 1$. So $\hat{\alpha} = c = \min(x_1, \dots, x_N)$.

For β , Use the derivative of the log-likelihood.

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg \max_{\beta} f(X; \alpha, \beta) = \arg \max_{\beta} \log(f(X; \alpha, \beta))$$

$$L = \log(f(X; \alpha, \beta)) = -N \log(\beta) - \frac{\sum_i x_i}{\beta} + \frac{Nc}{\beta}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{N}{\beta} + \frac{\sum_i x_i}{\beta^2} - \frac{Nc}{\beta^2} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_i x_i}{N} - c$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta^2} \Big|_{\hat{\beta}} = \frac{N}{\hat{\beta}^2} - 2 \frac{\sum_i x_i}{\hat{\beta}^3} + 2 \frac{Nc}{\hat{\beta}^3} = \frac{N}{\hat{\beta}^2} - 2 \frac{\sum_i x_i - Nc}{\hat{\beta}^3} = \frac{N}{\hat{\beta}^2} - 2 \frac{N\hat{\beta}}{\hat{\beta}^3} = -\frac{N}{\hat{\beta}^2} < 0$$

So the *MLE* is $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) = (c, \frac{\sum_i x_i}{N} - c)$.

The second step is to prove the *completeness* of these statistics.

First for α (Suppose β is fixed):

$$f(X; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\beta^N} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_i x_i}{\beta} + \frac{N\alpha}{\beta}\right) I(c \geq \alpha) = \frac{1}{\beta^N} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_i x_i}{\beta}\right) \exp\left(\frac{N\alpha}{\beta}\right) I(c \geq \alpha)$$

$$h(X) = \frac{1}{\beta^N} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_i x_i}{\beta}\right), \quad g(T(X) = c|\alpha) = \exp\left(\frac{N\alpha}{\beta}\right) I(c \geq \alpha)$$

Thus, by the *factorization theorem*, $T(X) = c = \min(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ is a *sufficient statistic* for α .

Next, we need *PDF* of c .

$$F_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f(t; \alpha, \beta) dt = \int_{\alpha}^x \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-\frac{t-\alpha}{\beta}} dt = -e^{-\frac{t-\alpha}{\beta}} \Big|_{\alpha}^x = 1 - e^{-\frac{x-\alpha}{\beta}} \quad x \geq \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow P_X(x \leq t) = 1 - e^{-\frac{t-\alpha}{\beta}} \Rightarrow P_X(x > t) = e^{-\frac{t-\alpha}{\beta}}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_C(c > x) = P_X(\forall_{i=1}^N : x_i > x) = \prod_{i=1}^N P_X(x_i > x) = \exp\left(-N \frac{x-\alpha}{\beta}\right)$$

$$CDF : F_C(x) = 1 - P_C(c > x) = 1 - \exp\left(-N \frac{x-\alpha}{\beta}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow PDF : f_C(x; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{N}{\beta} \exp\left(-N \frac{x-\alpha}{\beta}\right) \quad \text{if } x \geq \alpha \quad \text{o.w } 0$$

Suppose $u(t)$ is a function such that $\forall_{\alpha} : E_{\alpha}[u(c)] = 0$.

$$\forall_{\alpha} : \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(t) f_C(t; \alpha, \beta) dt = 0 \Rightarrow \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} u(t) \frac{N}{\beta} \exp\left(-N \frac{t-\alpha}{\beta}\right) dt = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall_{\alpha} : \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} u(t) \exp\left(-N \frac{t}{\beta}\right) dt = 0$$

Since the result of the integral with respect to α is constant, its derivative with respect to α must be *zero*.

$$\Rightarrow \forall_{\alpha} : -u(\alpha) \exp\left(\frac{-N\alpha}{\beta}\right) = 0 \Rightarrow u(\alpha) = 0$$

So, $c = \min(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ is a *complete sufficient statistic* for α .

For β , use *exponential family*. (Suppose α is fixed)

$$f(x_i; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-\frac{x_i-\alpha}{\beta}} I(x_i \geq \alpha) = I(x_i \geq \alpha) \left(\frac{1}{\beta} e^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}\right) \left(e^{-\frac{x_i}{\beta}}\right)$$

$$h(x_i) = I(x_i \geq \alpha), \quad c(\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta} e^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}, \quad w_1(\beta) = \frac{-1}{\beta}, \quad t_1(x_i) = x_i$$

By the *theorem*, $\sum_{i=1}^N x_i$ is a *complete sufficient statistic* for β .

Now, these statistics just need to be unbiased.

$$E[c] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t \cdot f_C(t; \alpha, \beta) dt = \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} t \frac{N}{\beta} \exp\left(-N \frac{t-\alpha}{\beta}\right) dt$$

$$= -t \cdot \exp(-N \frac{t-\alpha}{\beta}) \Big|_{\alpha}^{\infty} + \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \exp(-N \frac{t-\alpha}{\beta}) dt = \alpha + \frac{-\beta}{N} \exp(-N \frac{t-\alpha}{\beta}) \Big|_{\alpha}^{\infty} = \alpha + \frac{\beta}{N}$$

So, the *UMVUE* for α is $\hat{\alpha} = c - \frac{\beta}{N}$.

$$\begin{aligned} E[x] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t \cdot f_X(t; \alpha, \beta) dt = \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} t \frac{1}{\beta} \exp(-\frac{t-\alpha}{\beta}) dt \\ &= -t \cdot \exp(-\frac{t-\alpha}{\beta}) \Big|_{\alpha}^{\infty} + \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \exp(-\frac{t-\alpha}{\beta}) dt = \alpha + -\beta \exp(-\frac{t-\alpha}{\beta}) \Big|_{\alpha}^{\infty} = \alpha + \beta \\ &\Rightarrow E[\sum_i x_i] = N \cdot E[x] = N\alpha + N\beta \end{aligned}$$

So, the *UMVUE* for β is $\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_i x_i}{N} - \alpha$.

Solving this system of linear equations leads to this conclusion:

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{N}{N-1} \min(x_1, \dots, x_N) - \frac{\sum_i x_i}{N(N-1)}, \quad \hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_i x_i}{N-1} - \frac{N}{N-1} \min(x_1, \dots, x_N)$$

7. [15] Let x_1, \dots, x_N are iid samples from $U(0, \theta)$, which prior distribution of θ is:

$$\pi(\theta|\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\alpha\beta^\alpha}{\theta^{\alpha+1}} \quad \theta \geq \alpha, \beta > 0$$

(a) Find the *MAP* estimator for θ .

(b) Find *Bayes Minimum Loss* estimator for θ . Use *Squared Error Loss*.

Solution:

(a) *PDF* of *Uniform* distribution is:

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} \quad \text{if } \theta \geq x \geq 0 \quad \text{o.w } 0$$

So Posterior distribution is:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\theta|X) &\propto f(X|\theta)\pi(\theta|\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\alpha\beta^\alpha}{\theta^{\alpha+1}} \prod_{i=1}^N f(x_i|\theta) \quad \text{if } \theta \geq \alpha, \beta \quad \text{o.w. } 0 \\ &= \frac{\alpha\beta^\alpha}{\theta^{\alpha+1}} \frac{1}{\theta^N} \quad \text{if } \theta \geq \alpha, \beta \quad \text{and } \forall_i : x_i \leq \theta \quad \text{o.w. } 0 \end{aligned}$$

To maximize posterior, $\theta \geq \alpha, \beta$ and $\max(x_1, \dots, x_N) \leq \theta$ must be met. In this situation:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta} &= \arg \max_{\theta} P(\theta|X) = \arg \max_{\theta} \frac{\alpha\beta^\alpha}{\theta^{\alpha+1}} \frac{1}{\theta^N} = \arg \max_{\theta} \frac{\alpha\beta^\alpha}{\theta^{N+\alpha+1}} \\ &= \arg \min_{\theta} \theta^{N+\alpha+1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\hat{\theta}$ is the smallest number that satisfies the conditions.

$$\hat{\theta} = \max(\alpha, \beta, x_1, \dots, x_N)$$

(b)

$$\hat{\theta} = E[\theta|X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta P(\theta|X) d\theta = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta \frac{P(X|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{P(X)} d\theta = \frac{1}{P(X)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta P(X|\theta)\pi(\theta) d\theta$$

First we calculate integral. Define $c = \max(\alpha, \beta, x_1, \dots, x_N)$. From the previous section, we know $P(X|\theta)\pi(\theta)$ is equal to zero for $\theta < c$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta P(X|\theta)\pi(\theta) d\theta &= \int_c^{\infty} \theta \frac{\alpha\beta^\alpha}{\theta^{N+\alpha+1}} d\theta = \alpha\beta^\alpha \int_c^{\infty} \frac{1}{\theta^{N+\alpha}} d\theta \\ &= \alpha\beta^\alpha \left(\frac{-\theta^{-(N+\alpha-1)}}{N+\alpha-1} \Big|_c^{\infty} \right) = \alpha\beta^\alpha \frac{c^{-(N+\alpha-1)}}{N+\alpha-1} \end{aligned}$$

To calculate $P(X)$:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(X|\theta)\pi(\theta) d\theta = \int_c^{\infty} \frac{\alpha\beta^\alpha}{\theta^{N+\alpha+1}} d\theta = \alpha\beta^\alpha \int_c^{\infty} \frac{1}{\theta^{N+\alpha+1}} d\theta \\ &= \alpha\beta^\alpha \left(\frac{-\theta^{-(N+\alpha)}}{N+\alpha} \Big|_c^{\infty} \right) = \alpha\beta^\alpha \frac{c^{-(N+\alpha)}}{N+\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

And finally:

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{N+\alpha}{N+\alpha-1} \max(\alpha, \beta, x_1, \dots, x_N)$$

8. [5] Suppose $P(\theta; \alpha)$ is a *conjugate prior* for $f(x|\theta)$. Show that the following distribution is a *conjugate prior* for $f(x|\theta)$ too.

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i P(\theta; \alpha_i), \quad \text{s.t.} \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i = 1$$

Solution:

By definition, $P(\theta|x)$ and $P(\theta; \alpha)$ are from one family.

$$P(\theta|x) = \frac{f(x|\theta)P(\theta; \alpha)}{\gamma(\alpha)}, \quad \gamma(\alpha) = \int f(x|\theta')P(\theta'; \alpha) d\theta'$$

For new posterior:

$$\begin{aligned} P'(\theta|x) &= \frac{f(x|\theta)P(\theta; \alpha, \beta)}{P(x)} = \frac{f(x|\theta) \sum_i \beta_i P(\theta; \alpha_i)}{\int f(x|\theta')P(\theta'; \alpha, \beta) d\theta'} = \frac{\sum_i \beta_i f(x|\theta)P(\theta; \alpha_i)}{\int \sum_i \beta_i f(x|\theta')P(\theta'; \alpha_i) d\theta'} \\ &= \frac{\sum_i \beta_i P(\theta|x)\gamma(\alpha_i)}{\sum_i \beta_i \int f(x|\theta')P(\theta'; \alpha_i) d\theta'} = \frac{\sum_i \beta_i P(\theta|x)\gamma(\alpha_i)}{\sum_i \beta_i \gamma(\alpha_i)} = \sum_i \frac{\beta_i \gamma(\alpha_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \gamma(\alpha_j)} P(\theta|x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta'_i P(\theta|x) \\ &\Rightarrow \beta'_i = \frac{\beta_i \gamma(\alpha_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \gamma(\alpha_j)} \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m \beta'_i = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since $P(\theta|x)$ and $P(\theta; \alpha_i)$ are from one family and $\sum_{i=1}^m \beta'_i = 1$, posterior distribution $P'(\theta|x)$ is in the same probability distribution family as the prior distribution $P(\theta; \alpha, \beta) = \sum_i \beta_i P(\theta; \alpha_i)$.