



1. let $\mathbf{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_n$ be a random sample from an exponential distribution with mean θ , and consider the following estimators for θ :

- $\hat{\theta} = \bar{\mathbf{Y}}$
- $\tilde{\theta} = \mathbf{n} \min(\mathbf{Y}_i)$
- $\dot{\theta} = \mathbf{S}$, the sample standard deviation

Through simulating 100,000 random samples of size $\mathbf{n} = 10$ from an exponential distribution with mean $\theta = 2$ compute and compare the bias and MSEs of All three estimator. Compare your simulation results with the analytical results . Also for estimators that are unbiased, calculate the relative efficiency.

2. the data set *ex0428*, "Darwin's Data", in the library *Sleuth2* of R project has following description:

Plant heights (inches) for 15 pairs of plants of the same age, one of which was grown from a seed from a cross-fertilized flower and the other of which was grown from a seed from a self-fertilized flower.

Suppose we want to model the difference μ in heights of cross and self-fertilized flowers, using a normal density. We'll also suppose that the variance of differences in heights to be $\sigma^2 = 20$. Use a normal prior for μ , with mean zero and large variance, say $\delta^2 = 100$.

- (a) Find the Bayes estimator for the difference μ in height.
- (b) Make a plot of the posterior distribution. Would you say there is evidence that the true difference μ is larger than zero?

3. Suppose $\mathbf{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_n$ are independent random variable with distribution

$$f_{\theta}(y) = \theta y^{-(\theta+1)} \quad \text{for } y > 1,$$

where $\theta > 0$.

- (a) Compute the maximum likelihood and the Fisher information $\mathbf{I}(\theta)$.
- (b) For MLE, $\hat{\theta}$, we have $\mathbf{V}(\hat{\theta}) \approx \mathbf{I}(\theta)^{-1}$, it can be shown that we have "asymptotic normality" of the estimator; in fact:

$$\hat{\theta} \approx \mathcal{N}(\theta, \mathbf{I}(\theta)^{-1})$$

This allows for hypothesis testing about θ and confidence intervals. The approximate test using the information to approximate the variance of the estimator is called a **Wald test**. Use a Wald test to determine an approximation p -value for $H_0 : \theta = 4$ versus $H_a : \theta > 4$, using the following random sample from the distribution $f_\theta(y)$:

1.53, 1.36, 3.64, 1.18, 1.31, 1.59, 1.89, 1.47, 1.25, 2.98

- (c) simulate the null distribution of the MLE from (a), using one million loops. This will give a p -value that is precise to about three decimal places. Compare this to the Wald test p -value, which is based on an approximation of the null distribution, Finally, make a histogram of the true null hypothesis density of your test statistic, and superimpose the approximation normal density to see the reason for the discrepancy of p -value.