



1. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid with pdf

$$f(x | \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \theta, \quad \theta > 0.$$

Estimate  $\theta$  using both the method of moments and maximum likelihood. Calculate the means and variances of the two estimators. Which one should be preferred and why?

**Solution:**

This is a uniform  $(0, \theta)$  model. We denote  $\tilde{\theta}$  for the method of moments estimator and  $\hat{\theta}$  for MLE estimator. This is a uniform  $(0, \theta)$  model. So  $\mathbb{E}[X] = (0 + \theta)/2 = \theta/2$ . The method of moments estimator is the solution to the equation  $\tilde{\theta}/2 = \bar{X}$ , that is,  $\tilde{\theta} = 2\bar{X}$ . Because  $\tilde{\theta}$  is a simple function of the sample mean, its mean and variance are easy to calculate. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta}] = 2\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] = 2\mathbb{E}[X] = 2 \frac{\theta}{2} = \theta, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}[\tilde{\theta}] = 4 \text{Var}[\bar{X}] = 4 \frac{\theta^2/12}{n} = \frac{\theta^2}{3n}$$

The likelihood function is

$$L(\theta | \mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} I_{[0, \theta]}(x_i) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} I_{[0, \theta]}(x_{(n)}) I_{[0, \infty)}(x_{(1)}),$$

where  $x_{(1)}$  and  $x_{(n)}$  are the smallest and largest order statistics. For  $\theta \geq x_{(n)}$ ,  $L = 1/\theta^n$ , a decreasing function. So for  $\theta \geq x_{(n)}$ ,  $L$  is maximized at  $\hat{\theta} = x_{(n)}$ .  $L = 0$  for  $\theta < x_{(n)}$ . So the overall maximum, the MLE, is  $\hat{\theta} = X_{(n)}$ . The pdf of  $\hat{\theta} = X_{(n)}$  is  $nx^{n-1}/\theta^n$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq \theta$ . This can be used to calculate

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}] = \frac{n}{n+1}\theta, \quad \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}^2] = \frac{n}{n+2}\theta^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}[\hat{\theta}] = \frac{n\theta^2}{(n+2)(n+1)^2}.$$

$\tilde{\theta}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ ;  $\hat{\theta}$  is a biased estimator. If  $n$  is large, the bias is not large because  $n/(n+1)$  is close to one. But if  $n$  is small, the bias is quite large. On the other hand,  $\text{Var}[\hat{\theta}] < \text{Var}[\tilde{\theta}]$  for all  $\theta$ . So, if  $n$  is large,  $\hat{\theta}$  is probably preferable to  $\tilde{\theta}$ .

2.  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are iid samples from  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Consider we know  $\sigma^2$ . Compute UMVUE for  $\mu^3$ .

**Solution:**

For MLE we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(\theta|\{X_i\}) &= \left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\left(\frac{X_i-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) \\
 \rightarrow \log L(\theta|\{X_i\}) &= -n\log(\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\left(\frac{X_i-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2 \\
 \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \mu} &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\left(-n\mu + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = 0 \rightarrow \hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i
 \end{aligned}$$

We denote  $\theta = \mu^3$ . From the invariance property we know:

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n^3}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)^3$$

To check bias of this estimator we must compute expected value:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}] &= \frac{1}{n^3}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)^3\right] \\
 &= \mu^3 + \frac{3\sigma^2\mu}{n}
 \end{aligned}$$

From Rao-Blackwell we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow \left. \begin{aligned} \hat{\theta}_{\text{unbiased}} &= \hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \frac{3\sigma^2\mu}{n} \\ \bar{x} &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \Rightarrow E[\bar{X}] = \mu \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{\text{unbiased}} = (\bar{X})^3 - \frac{3\sigma^2}{n}\bar{X} \\
 \hat{\theta}(x) &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} T(x) &= \bar{X} \\ g(T) &= T^3 - \frac{3\sigma^2}{n}T \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \bar{X} \text{ is ss for } \mu^3 \\
 \hat{\theta}_{\text{UMVUE}} &= \mathbb{E}\left[(\bar{X})^3 - \frac{3\sigma^2}{n}\bar{X} \mid \bar{x}\right] = (\bar{X})^3 - \frac{3\sigma^2}{n}\bar{X}
 \end{aligned}$$

3. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid with one of two pdfs. If  $\theta = 0$ , then

$$f(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 < x < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

while if  $\theta = 1$ , then

$$f(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} 1/(2\sqrt{x}) & \text{if } 0 < x < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Find the MLE of  $\theta$ .

**Solution:**

$L(0|\mathbf{x}) = 1, 0 < x_i < 1$ , and  $L(1|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_i 1/(2\sqrt{x_i}), 0 < x_i < 1$ . Thus, the MLE is 0 if  $1 \geq \prod_i 1/(2\sqrt{x_i})$ , and the MLE is 1 if  $1 < \prod_i 1/(2\sqrt{x_i})$ .

4.  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are iid samples from a distribution with PDF as follows:

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{2\theta} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|}{\theta}\right) \text{ where } \theta > 0$$

Find the MSE of the following estimator:

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|$$

**Solution:**

$$E[\hat{\theta}] = E[|x|] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x| \frac{1}{2\theta} e^{-\frac{|x|}{\theta}} dx = \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^{\infty} x e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} dx = \theta$$

$$\text{Var}[\hat{\theta}] = \frac{1}{n} \text{Var}[|X|]$$

$$\text{Var}[|X|] = E[|X|^2] - E[|X|]^2 = E[|X|^2] - \theta^2$$

$$E[|X|^2] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \frac{1}{2\theta} e^{-\frac{|x|}{\theta}} dx = \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^{\infty} x^2 e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} dx = 2\theta^2$$

$$\text{Var}[|X|] = \theta^2 \rightarrow \text{Var}[\hat{\theta}] = \frac{\theta^2}{n}$$

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}) = (E[\hat{\theta}] - \theta)^2 + \text{Var}[\hat{\theta}] = \frac{\theta^2}{n}$$

5.  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are iid samples from a distribution with PDF as follows:

$$f(x | \theta) = \frac{\theta}{(1+x)^{\theta+1}}, \quad 0 < \theta < \infty, 0 < x < \infty$$

Find a sufficient statistics for  $\theta$ .

**Solution:**

First note that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta}{(1+x_i)^{\theta+1}} \\ &= \frac{\theta^n}{\left[\prod_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)\right]^{\theta+1}} \\ &= \frac{\theta^n}{u^{\theta+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$U = \prod_{i=1}^n (1+X_i)$$

$$g(u, \theta) = \frac{\theta^n}{u^{\theta+1}}$$

$$h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 1$$

Thus, by the Factorization Theorem,

$$U = \prod_{i=1}^n (1+X_i)$$

is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

6. We have a random variable of  $X$  with discrete distribution of:

$$p_X(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\theta+1} & \text{if } x \in \{-\theta, -\theta+1, \dots, \theta-1, \theta\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

By considering  $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$ , find a sufficient statistic using factorization theorem.

**Solution:**

For the joint distribution we have:

$$p_X(X|\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(2\theta+1)^n} & \text{if } \forall_i X_i \in \{-\theta, -\theta+1, \dots, \theta-1, \theta\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We can rewrite the condition as:

$$p_X(X|\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(2\theta+1)^n} & \text{if } \max |X_i| \leq \theta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We can consider  $h(x) = 1$  and using factorization theorem we can conclude that  $\max |X_i|$  is a sufficient statistic.