# **Stochastic Processes**



### Week 07 (Version 01) Estimation Theory 02

Hamid R. Rabiee Fall 2023

# **Outline of Week 7 Lectures**

- Introduction to Optimal Frequentist Estimator
- Score and Fisher Information
- Cramer-Rao Lower Bound
- Rao-Blackwell Theorem
- UMVUE
- Bayesian Estimation
- Conjugate Prior
- Consistency
- Efficiency
- Estimator Comparison
- Summary

# **Introduction to Optimal Frequentist Estimator**

- In the Frequentist's point of view, an optimal estimator is both unbiased and minimum variance.
- How can we obtain an estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  that is unbiased?
  - Given any biased estimator  $\hat{\theta}_{b}$  with bias b, then we can remove the bias to obtain an unbiased estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  from  $\hat{\theta}_{b}$ , i. e.  $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}_{b} b$ .
- How can we obtain a minimum variance estimator  $\hat{\theta}_{mv}$  from an unbiased estimator?
  - We need to obtain a lower bound for an unbiased estimator and make sure  $\hat{\theta}$  mv achieves that bound.

# **Outline of Week 7 Lectures**

- Introduction to Optimal Frequentist Estimator
- Score and Fisher Information
- Cramer-Rao Lower Bound
- Rao-Blackwell Theorem
- UMVUE
- Bayesian Estimation
- Conjugate Prior
- Consistency
- Efficiency
- Estimator Comparison
- Summary

• The score  $s(\theta)$  is defined as the gradient of the loglikelihood function with respect to the parameter  $\theta$ .

$$s(\theta) = \frac{\partial \log L(\theta|x)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial \log f(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta}$$

• When evaluated at a particular value of the parameter vector, the score indicates the sensitivity of the log-likelihood function to infinitesimal changes to the parameter values.

- The mean of score  $s(\theta)$
- Although s(θ) is a function of θ, it also depends on the observations X, at which the likelihood function is evaluated, and the expected value of the score, evaluated at the parameter value θ, is zero.

$$egin{aligned} \mathrm{E}(s \mid heta) &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x \mid heta) rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \log \mathcal{L}( heta \mid x) \, dx \ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x \mid heta) rac{1}{f(x \mid heta)} rac{\partial f(x \mid heta)}{\partial heta} \, dx = \int_{\mathcal{X}} rac{\partial f(x \mid heta)}{\partial heta} \, dx \end{aligned}$$

• We can interchange the derivative and integral by using Leibniz integral rule:

$$rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x \,|\, heta) \, dx = rac{\partial}{\partial heta} 1 = 0.$$

• If we repeatedly sample from some distribution, and repeatedly calculate its score, then the mean value of the scores would tend to zero asymptotically.

The Fisher Information is defined as the variance of score. It is a way of measuring the amount of information that an observable random variable *X* carries about an unknown parameter θ of a distribution that models *X*.

$$\mathcal{I}( heta) = \mathrm{E}iggl[ \left( rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \log f(X \, | \, heta) 
ight)^2 iggr| heta iggr] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \log f(x \, | \, heta) 
ight)^2 f(x iggr| \, heta) \, dx$$

• The Fisher information is not a function of a particular observation, as the random variable *X* has been averaged out.

• If  $\log f(x|\theta)$  is twice differentiable with respect to  $\theta$ , and under certain regularity conditions, the Fisher information may also be written as:

$$\mathcal{I}( heta) = -\operatorname{E}\!\left[rac{\partial^2}{\partial heta^2}\log f(X\!\,|\, heta)igg| heta
ight]$$

- The regularity conditions are as follows:
  - The partial derivative of  $f(X|\theta)$  with respect to  $\theta$  exists.
  - The integral of  $f(X|\theta)$  can be differentiated under the integral sign with respect to  $\theta$ .
  - The support of  $f(X|\theta)$  does not depend on  $\theta$ .

Why the two equations to compute Fisher Information are Equal?

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(\theta) &= \mathbf{E}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\log f(X|\theta)\right)^2 \middle| \theta\right] = -\mathbf{E}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta^2}\log f(X|\theta) \middle| \theta\right] \\ \text{Let } \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} &= \nabla_{\theta} \\ \nabla_{\theta}[s(X;\theta)] &= \nabla_{\theta}^2 [\ln(f(X;\theta))] \\ &= \nabla_{\theta} \left[\nabla_{\theta}[\ln(f(X;\theta))]\right] \\ &= \nabla_{\theta} \left[\frac{\nabla_{\theta}[f(X;\theta)]}{f(X;\theta)}\right] \\ &= \frac{(f(X;\theta)\nabla_{\theta}^2[f(X;\theta)]) - (\nabla_{\theta}[f(X;\theta)]\nabla_{\theta}[f(X;\theta)])}{(f(X;\theta))^2} \\ &= \frac{\nabla_{\theta}^2[f(X;\theta)]}{f(X;\theta)} - \frac{(\nabla_{\theta}[f(X;\theta)]\nabla_{\theta}[f(X;\theta)])}{(f(X;\theta))^2} \\ &= \frac{\nabla_{\theta}^2[f(X;\theta)]}{f(X;\theta)} - (\nabla_{\theta}[\ln(f(X;\theta))]\nabla_{\theta}[\ln(f(X;\theta))]) \\ &= \frac{\nabla_{\theta}^2[f(X;\theta)]}{f(X;\theta)} - (s(X;\theta))^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$E\left[\frac{\nabla_{\theta}{}^{2}[f(X;\theta)]}{f(X;\theta)}\right] = \int \frac{\nabla_{\theta}{}^{2}[f(X;\theta)]}{f(X;\theta)} f(X;\theta) dx$$
$$= \int \nabla_{\theta}{}^{2}[f(X;\theta)] dx$$
$$= \nabla_{\theta}{}^{2}\left[\int f(X;\theta) dx\right]$$
$$= \nabla_{\theta}{}^{2}[1]$$
$$= 0$$

$$E\left[\nabla_{\theta}{}^{2}\left[\ln(f(X;\theta))\right]\right] = E\left[\frac{\nabla_{\theta}{}^{2}[f(X;\theta)]}{f(X;\theta)}\right] - E\left[\left(s(X;\theta)\right)^{2}\right]$$
$$= 0 - E\left[\left(s(X;\theta)\right)^{2}\right]$$
$$= 0 - I(\theta)$$

11/26

# **Outline of Week 7 Lectures**

- Introduction to Optimal Frequentist Estimator
- Score and Fisher Information
- Cramer-Rao Lower Bound
- Rao-Blackwell Theorem
- UMVUE
- Bayesian Estimation
- Conjugate Prior
- Consistency
- Efficiency
- Estimator Comparison
- Summary

## **Cramer-Rao Lower Bound**

- The Cramer–Rao bound (CRB) expresses a lower bound on the variance of unbiased estimators of a deterministic (fixed, though unknown) parameter θ, stating that the variance of any such estimator is at least as high as the inverse of the Fisher information.
- An unbiased estimator which achieves this lower bound is said to be efficient.
- Suppose  $\theta$  is an unknown deterministic parameter which is to be estimated from *n* independent observations of *x*, each from a distribution according to some probability density function  $f(x|\theta)$ .

### **Cramer-Rao Lower Bound**

• The variance of any *unbiased* estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  of  $\theta$  is then bounded by the reciprocal of the Fisher information  $I(\theta)$ :

$$ext{var}(\hat{ heta}) \geq rac{1}{I( heta)}$$

• The efficiency of an unbiased estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  measures how close this estimator's variance comes to this lower bound; estimator efficiency is defined as:

$$e(\hat{ heta}) = rac{I( heta)^{-1}}{ ext{var}(\hat{ heta})}$$

• The Cramer–Rao lower bound gives:  $e(\hat{\theta}) \leq 1$ 

$$X_1, ..., X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f(X_i|\theta)$$
$$f(X|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i|\theta)$$
$$S(\theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} log f(X|\theta)$$
$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} log (\prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i|\theta))$$

$$I(\theta) = E\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} f(X_i|\theta)\right)\right)^2\right] = nE\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(X_i|\theta)^2\right] = nI_{X_i}(\theta)$$

$$X_1, ..., X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} P(\lambda) \to I(\theta) = ?$$
$$f(X|\lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^x}{x!}$$

$$\log f(X|\lambda) = -\lambda + x \log \lambda - \log x!$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} f(X|\lambda) = -1 + \frac{X}{\lambda}$$
$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log f(X|\lambda) = -\frac{X}{\lambda^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow I(\theta) = -nE[-\frac{X}{\lambda^2}] = \frac{n}{\lambda}$$

$$\hat{\lambda}_{ML} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$

Bias:

$$E[\hat{\lambda}_{ML}] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} E[X_i|\lambda] = n \frac{\lambda}{n} = \lambda$$

Variance:

$$\stackrel{(1)}{\Rightarrow} var[\hat{\lambda}_{ML}] = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} var(X_i|\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{n}$$

We Knew:

$$\stackrel{(2)}{\Rightarrow} var(\hat{\lambda}) \geq rac{1}{I(\lambda)} = rac{n}{\lambda}$$

Thus:

$$\stackrel{(1),(2)}{\Rightarrow} \hat{\lambda}_{ML} \equiv UMVE$$

$$\begin{aligned} X_1, \dots, X_n &\stackrel{iid}{\sim} U(0, \theta) \\ f(X|\theta) &= \frac{1}{\theta} \\ \log f(X|\theta) &= -\log \theta \\ I(\theta) &= nE[(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X|\theta))^2] = \frac{n}{\theta^2} \end{aligned}$$

According to CRB:

$$var( heta) \geq rac{ heta^2}{n}$$
 .

Recall:

$$y = \max_{i}(X_i)$$

Bias Analysis:

$$f_y(y|\theta) = \frac{n \ y^{n-1}}{\theta^n}$$
$$E[y] = \int_0^\theta y \ f(y|\theta) \ dy = \int_0^\theta \frac{n}{\theta^n} y^n \ dy = \frac{n}{n+1}\theta$$
$$\Rightarrow E[y] \neq \theta$$

$$\hat{ heta} = rac{n+1}{n}y$$
 $E[\hat{ heta}] = heta$ 

Variance Analysis (why?):

$$\begin{aligned} var(\hat{\theta}) &= var(\frac{n+1}{n}y) = \frac{\theta^2}{n(n+2)}\\ var(\hat{\theta}) &= \frac{\theta^2}{n(n+2)} \leq \frac{\theta^2}{n} = \frac{1}{I(\hat{\theta})} \end{aligned}$$

## **Rao-Blackwell Theorem**

- The Rao-Blackwell theorem uses sufficiency to characterizes the transformation of an arbitrarily estimator into an estimator that is optimal by the mean-squared-error (MSE) criterion.
- Recall: *x* and *y* are random variables:

$$E[X] = E[E[X|Y]]$$

$$var(X) = var(E[X|Y]) + E[var(X|Y)]$$

### **Rao-Blackwell Theorem:**

Let *w* be unbiased for  $\theta$ , and let *T* be a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ :

Define  $\phi(T) = E[w|T]$ , then:

 $E[\phi(T)] = \theta$ 

and  $var(\phi(T)) \leq var_{\theta}(w)$ .

### **Rao-Blackwell Theorem**

**Proof:** 

(1)  $\phi(T) = E_{\theta}(w|T)$  is an estimator because T is sufficient  $\Rightarrow$  conditional dist. of <u>X</u> given T does not depend on  $\theta$ and w is a function of <u>X</u> only:

$$E_{\theta}(\phi(T)) = E_{\theta}(E(w|T)) = E_{\theta}(w) = \theta$$

(2)  $Var_{\theta}(w) = Var_{\theta}[E(w|T)] + E_{\theta}[Var(w|T)]$ 

 $= Var_{\theta}(\phi(T)) + E_{\theta}(Var(w|T)) \ge Var_{\theta}(\phi(T))$ 

# **Outline of Week 7 Lectures**

- Introduction to Optimal Frequentist Estimator
- Score and Fisher Information
- Cramer-Rao Lower Bound
- Rao-Blackwell Theorem
- UMVUE
- Bayesian Estimation
- Conjugate Prior
- Consistency
- Efficiency
- Estimator Comparison
- Summary

**Example:**  $x_1, ..., x_n$  *iid*  $N(\mu, 1)$ Median  $(x_1, ..., x_n)$  is unbiased. However, it can't be UMVUE since it is not sufficient statistics (i.e. sufficient statistics is  $\overline{X}$ ).

### **Theorem:**

If w is an UMVUE of  $\theta$ , then w is unique.

 $\begin{array}{l} (1): \ W \leftarrow UMVE \\ (2): \ W' \leftarrow UMVE \\ \Rightarrow W^* := \frac{W + W'}{2} \end{array}$ 

#### **Proof:**

$$E[W^*] = E[\frac{w + w'}{2}] = \theta$$

$$var(W^*) = \frac{1}{4}var(W) + \frac{1}{4}var(W') + \frac{1}{2}cov(W,W')$$
  
 $\leq \frac{1}{4}var(W) + \frac{1}{4}var(W') + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{var(W) var(W')}$   
 $\leq var(W)$ 

#### **Theorem:**

Let *T* be a complete sufficient statistic for a parameter  $\theta$  and let  $\phi(T)$  be any unbiased estimator based only on *T*. Then  $\phi(T)$  is the unique *UMVUE* for  $\theta$ .

### 2 strategies for finding UMVUE's:

(1) Let *T* be a complete sufficient statistics for θ, find a function of *T*, φ(*T*), such that *E*<sub>θ</sub>[φ(*T*)] = θ.
(2) Let *T* be a sufficient statistics and *w* be any unbiased estimator for θ, compute φ(*T*) = E(w|T)

**Example:**  $x_1, ..., x_n$  *iid*  $Bern(\theta)$ We know  $\overline{X}$  is the UMVUE (CRB attained) Showed  $T = \sum X_i$  is a complete suff. Stat. for  $\theta$ .  $E(T) = n\theta \implies \phi(T) = \frac{T}{n}$ 

**Example:**  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  iid  $N(\mu, \delta^2)$ 

Showed  $T = (T_1, T_2) = (\sum X_i, \sum X_i^2)$  is a complete suff. stat. for  $N(\mu, \delta^2)$ 

Consider 
$$(\overline{X}, S^2) = \left(\frac{T_1}{n}, \frac{1}{n-1}\left(T_2 - \frac{T_1^2}{n}\right)\right)$$

**Example:**  $x_1, ..., x_n$  *iid*  $p(\lambda)$ Interested in estimating  $\theta = e^{-\lambda} = P_{\lambda}(X = 0)$  $\sum x_i \sim p(n, \lambda)$  is a complete sufficient statistic and:  $\frac{\sum x_i}{n}$  is the UMUVE for  $\lambda$ .

Guess  $e^{-\bar{X}}$ 

$$W(\underline{X}) = \begin{cases} 1 & X = 0\\ 0 & X > 0 \end{cases}$$

 $E_{\lambda}(w) = e^{-\lambda} \rightarrow unbiased$ 

*Compute* $E_{\lambda}(w|T)$ :

$$\phi(t) = E(w|T = t) = P_{\lambda}\left(X_1 = 0|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = t\right)$$

$$=\frac{P_{\lambda}(X_1=0, \ \sum_i^n X_i=t)}{P_{\lambda}(\sum_i^n X_i=t)}=\frac{P_{\lambda}(X_1=0)P_{\lambda}(\sum_i^n X_i=t)}{P_{\lambda}(\sum_i^n X_i=t)}$$

$$X_i \sim P(\lambda)$$
  $\sum_{i=2}^n X_i \sim P((n-1)\lambda)$   $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim P(n\lambda)$ 

28

$$\Rightarrow \phi(t) = \frac{\left[e^{-\lambda}\right] \left[e^{-(n-1)\lambda} \times \frac{\left[(n-1)\lambda\right]^{t}}{t!}\right]}{e^{-n\lambda} \times \frac{\left[n\lambda\right]^{t}}{t!}}$$

$$\therefore \phi(t) = \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^t = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^t \text{ is UMUVE of } e^{-\lambda}$$

We can write: 
$$\phi(t) = \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^t = \left(\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^n\right)^{\frac{1}{n}\sum x_i}$$

as 
$$n \to \infty$$
,  $\phi(t) \to e^{-\bar{X}}$ 

# **Outline of Week 7 Lectures**

- Introduction to Optimal Frequentist Estimator
- Score and Fisher Information
- Cramer-Rao Lower Bound
- Rao-Blackwell Theorem
- UMVUE
- Bayesian Estimation
- Conjugate Prior
- Consistency
- Efficiency
- Estimator Comparison
- Summary

### **Bayes estimation**

- Frequentists or classical estimation regards the parameter  $\theta$  as an unknown but fixed.
- Bayes: regards  $\theta$  as random variable, with prior distribution  $\pi(\theta)$ .
- Observe data  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$
- Update the prior into a posterior distribution;  $\pi(\theta|X)$ .

• 
$$\pi(\theta|X) = \frac{f(X,\theta)}{m(X)} = \frac{f(X|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{m(X)}$$
  
 $m(x) = \int f(X|\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta = marginal \ dist. \ of \ X$ 

**Example:**  $x_1, ..., x_n$  *iid*  $Bernoulli(\theta)$ ,  $\theta \sim \beta eta(\alpha, \beta)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\theta) &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1} \\ f(x)\theta) &= \theta^{\sum x_i} (1-\theta)^{n-\sum x_i} \\ m(x) &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 \theta^{\sum x_i+\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{n-\sum x_i+\beta_{-1}} d\theta \\ \beta \operatorname{eta}\left(\sum x_{i+}\alpha, n-\sum x_i+\beta\right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(\sum x_i+\alpha)\Gamma(n-\sum x_i+\beta)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta)} \\ \Gamma(\theta \mid x) &= \frac{f(x\mid\theta)\pi(\theta)}{m(x)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \sum^{\sum x_i+\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{n-\sum x_i+\beta-1} \times \frac{1}{m(\alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

 $\pi(\theta|X) \sim \beta eta(\sum X_i + \alpha, n - \sum X_i + \beta)$ 

#### **Finding the posterior:**

(a) Calculate  $\pi(\theta)f(X|\theta)$ 

- (b) Factor into piece depending on  $\theta$  and piece not depending on  $\theta$ .
- (c) Drop piece not depending on  $\theta$ , multiply and divide by constants.
- (d) π(θ|X) is k(X) times what is left.
  choose k(X) s.t. ∫ π(θ|X) dθ = 1

**Example:**  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  iid  $N(\mu, \delta^2)$ ,  $\delta^2$  known  $f(x \mid \mu) = (2\Pi\delta^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2\delta^2}\sum(x_i - \mu)^2}$  $\Pi(\mu) = N(\mu_0, \delta_0^2)$  $\pi(\mu)f(x \mid \mu) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta^2}}\right)^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2s^2}\sum(x_i - \mu)^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2\delta_0^2}(\mu - \mu_0)^2}$  $\alpha \exp \left| -\frac{1}{2\delta_0^2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2 - \frac{1}{2\delta^2} \sum \left( x_i - \bar{x} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2\delta^2} n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 \right|$  $= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{(\mu-\mu_0)^2}{\delta_2^2} + \frac{n(x-\mu)^2}{\varepsilon_2^2}\right)\right]$ 

$$= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{\delta_0^2} + \frac{n(x - \mu)^2}{\delta^2}\right)\right]$$
$$= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\frac{1}{\delta_0^2} + \frac{n}{\delta^2}\right)\mu^2 - 2\mu\left(\frac{\mu_0}{\delta_0^2} + \frac{n\bar{x}}{\delta^2}\right) + \frac{\mu\delta^2}{\delta_0^2} + \frac{n\bar{x}^2}{\delta^2}\right)\right]$$
$$= \frac{-1}{2}a\mu^2 - 2b\mu = \frac{-1}{2}a\left(\mu - \frac{b}{a}\right)^2$$

$$a = \frac{1}{\delta_0^2} + \frac{n}{\delta_-^2}$$
$$b = \frac{\mu_c}{\delta_0^2} + \frac{nx}{\delta^2}$$

# Bayes estimation $\pi(\mu)f(x \mid \mu) \propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}a\left(\mu - \frac{b}{a}\right)^2\right]$ $= N\left(\frac{b}{a}, \frac{1}{a}\right) \sim \pi(\mu \mid \underline{x})$

#### **Bayes estimator:**

### (1) Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) Estimator:

In Bayesian statistics, a maximum a posteriori probability (MAP) estimate is an estimate of an unknown quantity, that equals the mode of the posterior distribution.

**Bayes estimator:** 

(1) Maximum Aposteriori (MAP) Estimator:

$$\hat{\theta}_{ML} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} f(X|\theta)$$
$$\hat{\theta}_{MAP} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \Pi(X|\theta)$$
$$\Pi(X|\theta) \propto f(X|\theta)\pi(\theta)$$

#### (2) Bayes Minimum Loss (Risk) Estimator:

• Define a loss function  $L(\theta, \hat{\theta})$ 

 $L(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = loss of estimation \theta by \hat{\theta}$ 

Minimize expected loss:

 $\min \int_{\Theta} L(\theta, \hat{\theta}) \pi(\theta | X) d\theta$ 

then  $\hat{\theta} \sim$  Bayes minimum loss estimator.

(1)  $L(\theta - \hat{\theta}) = (\theta - \hat{\theta})^2$  squared error loss  $\Rightarrow E(\theta|X) = \hat{\theta}$ (2)  $L(\theta - \hat{\theta}) = |\theta - \hat{\theta}|$  absolute error loss  $\Rightarrow \hat{\theta} = Median \ of \pi(\theta|X)$ 

Example:  $x_1, ..., x_n$  iid  $N(\mu, \delta^2)$ Posterior is normal with mean:  $\left(\frac{\mu_0}{\delta_0^2} + \frac{n\bar{x}}{\delta^2}\right) / \left(\frac{1}{\delta_0^2} + \frac{n}{\delta^2}\right)$ And variance:  $1 / \left(\frac{1}{\delta_0^2} + \frac{n}{\delta^2}\right)$  using squared loss criterion.  $\hat{\mu} = E(\mu \mid x) = \alpha \bar{x} + (1 - \alpha)\mu_0$  $\alpha = n/\delta^2 / \left(\frac{n}{\delta^2} + \frac{1}{\delta_0^2}\right) = \frac{n}{n + \frac{\delta^2}{\delta_0^2}}$ 

Note:

(1) as 
$$n \to \infty, \alpha \to 1$$
  
 $\Rightarrow E(\mu \mid x) \to \overline{x}$ 

(2) prior information:  
Let 
$$\delta_0^2 \to \infty$$
  
 $\mu \sim N(\mu_0, \infty) \Rightarrow E(\mu \mid x) \to \bar{x}$ 

(3) good prior info: Let  $\delta_0^2 \to 0 \Rightarrow E(\mu \mid x) \to \mu_0$ 

# **Outline of Week 7 Lectures**

- Introduction to Optimal Frequentist Estimator
- Score and Fisher Information
- Cramer-Rao Lower Bound
- Rao-Blackwell Theorem
- UMVUE
- Bayesian Estimation
- Conjugate Prior
- Consistency
- Efficiency
- Estimator Comparison
- Summary

# **Conjugate Prior**

In Bayesian probability theory, if the posterior distribution  $p(\theta \mid x)$  is in the same probability distribution family as the prior probability distribution  $\pi(\theta)$ , the prior and posterior are then called conjugate distributions, and the prior is called a conjugate prior for the likelihood function  $p(x \mid \theta)$ . **Examples:** 

Conjugate Prior	Likelihood	Posterior	
Beta	Bernoulli	Beta	
Gamma	Poisson	Gamma	
Normal	Normal	Normal	

### **Problems with Bayes Estimator**

choice of prior:

- subjective
- non informative priors

Prior:  $\pi(\gamma) = 1 \quad \forall \gamma$ 

Posterior: 
$$N(\overline{X}, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$$

What can we do when we do not have the prior?

## **Jeffreys Prior**

**Jeffreys Prior:** is a non-informative (objective) prior distribution for a parameter space; its density function is proportional to the square root of the determinant of the Fisher information matrix:

Example:  $x_1, ..., x_n$  iid  $Bern(\theta)$ 

$$\log(f(X|\theta)) = x \log\theta + (1-x)\log(1-\theta)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log (f(X|\theta)) = \frac{x}{\theta} - \frac{1-x}{1-\theta} \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log (f(X|\theta)) = \frac{-x}{\theta^2} + \frac{1-x}{(1-\theta)^2}$$

$$E_{\theta}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}\log(f(X|\theta))\right] = -\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{1-\theta} = -\frac{1}{\theta(1-\theta)}$$

$$\pi(\theta) \propto \frac{1}{\theta(1-\theta)}^{\frac{1}{2}} i.e.\beta eta(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$$

# **Outline of Week 7 Lectures**

- Introduction to Optimal Frequentist Estimator
- Score and Fisher Information
- Cramer-Rao Lower Bound
- Rao-Blackwell Theorem
- UMVUE
- Bayesian Estimation
- Conjugate Prior
- Consistency
- Efficiency
- Estimator Comparison
- Summary

### Consistency

Why do frequentists use MLE's?

• MLE's have nice asymptotic properties

**Def:** a sequence of estimators:

 $w_n = w_n(x_1, ..., x_n)$  is a consistent sequence of estimators of the parameter  $\theta$  if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta$ :

or:  
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P_{\theta}(|w_n - \theta| < \epsilon) = 1$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P_{\theta}(|w_n - \theta| \ge \epsilon) = 0$$

(it means  $w_n$  converges to  $\theta$  in probability)

## Consistency

#### **Theorem:**

If  $w_n$  is a sequence of estimators of a parameter  $\theta$  with:

- (a)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} Var_{\theta}(w_n) = 0$  and
- (b)  $w_n$  unbiased estimator of  $\theta$

Then  $w_n$  is a consistent sequence of estimators of  $\theta$ .

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} Chebychev \implies P_{\theta}(|w_{n} - \theta| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{E_{\theta}(w_{n} - \theta)^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \\ E_{\theta}(w_{n} - \theta)^{2} &= E_{\theta}(w_{n} + Ew_{n} - Ew_{n} - \theta)^{2} \\ &= Var_{\theta}w_{n} + (Bias_{\theta}w_{n})^{2} \end{aligned}$$

# Consistency

- MLE's are consistent
- MLE's are asymptotically unbiased

#### Theorem:

Let  $x_1, ..., x_n$  iid  $f(X|\theta)$ . Let  $L(\theta|X) = \prod f(X_i|\theta)$  $\hat{\theta} = MLE \text{ of } \theta$ 

Then with some regularity conditions on  $f(X|\theta)$  we have:

 $\hat{\theta}_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $\theta$ .

Condition: support of pdf does not depend on parameters and rules out  $U(0, \theta)$ 

# **Outline of Week 7 Lectures**

- Introduction to Optimal Frequentist Estimator
- Score and Fisher Information
- Cramer-Rao Lower Bound
- Rao-Blackwell Theorem
- UMVUE
- Bayesian Estimation
- Conjugate Prior
- Consistency
- Efficiency
- Estimator Comparison
- Summary

## Efficiency

• Let  $I(\theta) = E_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X|\theta)\right)^2$  and X is not a vector.

#### Def:

Let *w* be an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ . The efficiency of *w* is:

$$eff(w) = \frac{\left[\frac{1}{n}I(\theta)\right]}{var(w)} \longrightarrow CRB \text{ lower bound}$$

## Efficiency

#### **Definition:**

A sequence of estimators *w* is said to be asymptotically efficient if:

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} eff(w_n)\to 1$ 

As  $n \to \infty$ , *var*  $w_n$  attains CR lower bound.

- MLE's are asymptotically efficient.
- MLE's are asymptotically normal.

i.e. 
$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{D} N\left(0, \frac{1}{I(\theta)}\right)$$

♦ MLE's are:

(1) Consistent (2) asymptotically unbiased (3) asymptotically efficient(4) asymptotically normal

# **Outline of Week 7 Lectures**

- Introduction to Optimal Frequentist Estimator
- Score and Fisher Information
- Cramer-Rao Lower Bound
- Rao-Blackwell Theorem
- UMVUE
- Bayesian Estimation
- Conjugate Prior
- Consistency
- Efficiency
- Estimator Comparison
- Summary

Asymptotic variance of MLE

$$eff(\hat{\theta}_n) = \frac{1/n I(\theta)}{var(\hat{\theta}_n)} \longrightarrow 1$$

Approximate  $var(\hat{\theta}_n)$  by  $nI(\theta) \leftrightarrow$  expected information  $nI(\theta)|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} \leftarrow$  observed info.

Better approximation for finite sample sizes.

**Expected information:** 

$$nI(\theta) = nE_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\log f(X|\theta)\right)^2$$

$$= E_{\theta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \prod f(X_i | \theta) \right)^2 = E_{\theta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta | X) \right)^2$$

**Approximation:** if  $x_1, ..., x_n$  are *iid*  $f(X|\theta)$ ,  $\hat{\theta}$  is the MLE of  $\theta$ .

$$var_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) \simeq \frac{1}{E_{\theta} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta|X)\right]^{2}} \simeq \frac{1}{-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} \log L(\theta|X)|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}}}$$
(\*)

Example:  $x_1, ..., x_n$  are iid from  $Bern(\theta)$   $MLE \text{ is } \hat{p} = \overline{X}$   $Var(\hat{p}) = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$  $\widehat{Var}(\hat{p}) = \frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}$  an approximated variance

Use (\*) 
$$\rightarrow Var \hat{p} \approx \frac{1}{-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} log L(p|x)|_{p=\hat{p}}}$$

$$logL = \sum x_i logp + \left(n - \sum x_i\right) log(1-p)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log L = -\frac{n\bar{X}}{p^2} - \frac{n(1-\bar{X})}{(1-p)^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log L|_{p=\hat{p}} = -\frac{n\bar{X}}{\bar{X}^2} - \frac{n(1-\bar{X})}{(1-\bar{X})^2} = -\frac{n}{\bar{X}(1-\bar{X})}$$

(\*) also gives:  $\widehat{Var} \, \hat{p} = \frac{\overline{X}(1 - \overline{X})}{n}$ 

• Frequentists:  $\min E_{\theta} (\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2$ 

**Example:**  $x_1, ..., x_n$  *iid*  $N(\mu, \delta^2)$ , want to estimate  $\delta^2$ 

**MLE** 
$$\widehat{\delta_1}^2 = \frac{s}{n}$$
 when  $s = \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2$ 

**Bayes(Jeffery's prior)** 
$$\pi(\delta^2) \propto \frac{1}{s^2} \qquad \widehat{\delta_2^2} = \frac{s}{n-2}$$

**UMVUE**  $\widehat{\delta_3^2} = \frac{s}{n-1}$ 

Estimator Comparison  

$$E[aS - \delta^{2}]^{2} = a^{2}E(s^{2}) - 2a\delta^{2}ES + \delta^{4}$$

$$= a^{2}Var(s) + a^{2}[E(s)]^{2} - 2a\delta^{2}ES + \delta^{4}$$

$$\frac{s}{\delta^{2}} \sim X_{n-1}^{2} \Longrightarrow E(S) = (n-1)\delta^{2}$$

$$Var(S) = 2(n-1)\delta^{4}$$

$$E[as - \delta^{2}]^{2} = \delta^{4}[a^{2}(n-1)(n+1) - 2a(n-1) + 1]$$

$$Minimized \ by: \quad a = \frac{1}{n+1}, \qquad \widehat{\delta^{4}} = \frac{s}{n+1}$$

		$\widehat{\delta}_4$	$\widehat{\delta}_1^2$	$\widehat{\delta}_3^2$	$\widehat{\delta}_2^2$
estim	ator	$\frac{S}{n+1}$	$\frac{S}{n}$	$\frac{S}{n-1}$	$\frac{S}{n-2}$
MSE		$\delta^4\left(\frac{2}{n+1}\right)$	$\delta^4\left(\frac{2n-1}{n^2}\right)$	$\delta^4\left(\frac{2}{n-1}\right)$	$\delta^4\left(\frac{2n-1}{(n-2)^2}\right)$
theta 0.10 0.20 0.30 0.40 0.50 0.60 0.70 0.80 0.90 0.95	k1 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 19	MLE Ba 0.0258 0.0 0.0171 0.0 0.0159 0.0 0.0154 0.0 0.0142 0.0 0.0127 0.0 0.0105 0.0 0.0077 0.0 0.0042 0.0 0.0021 0.0	yesUMVUE2500.01481690.01251510.01341400.01411260.01381100.01280900.01090670.00820380.00450220.0023	O UMVE Bay O Beller MSE in this range	Jes MLE Leller ASE Better MSE

**Example:** let R = #of tosses needed to reach *k* heads,  $\theta = p(head)$ 

$$P[R = r] = {}^{r-1} C_{k-1} \theta^k (1 - \theta)^{r-k} \qquad r = k, k+1, \dots$$

R has negative binomial distribution.

(1) MLE 
$$\widehat{\theta_1} = \frac{k}{r}$$
  
(2) Bayes  $\pi(\theta) \propto [\theta(1-\theta)]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$   
 $\Rightarrow \pi(\theta|R) \propto \theta^{k-\frac{1}{2}}(1-\theta)^{r-k-\frac{1}{2}}$   
 $\Rightarrow \widehat{\theta_2} = E(\theta|R) = \frac{k+\frac{1}{2}}{r+1}$ 

(3) **UMVUE:** *r* is complete and sufficient for  $\theta$ :

$$E\left[\frac{1}{r-1}\right] = \frac{\theta}{k-1}$$

$$\Longrightarrow \widehat{\theta_3} = \frac{k-1}{r-1} \quad \text{which is the UMVUE of } \theta$$

# **Outline of Week 7 Lectures**

- Introduction to Optimal Frequentist Estimator
- Score and Fisher Information
- Cramer-Rao Lower Bound
- Rao-Blackwell Theorem
- UMVUE
- Bayesian Estimation
- Conjugate Prior
- Consistency
- Efficiency
- Estimator Comparison
- Summary

### **Summary**

#### (1) *Likelihood*:

Estimate  $\theta$  by the value  $\hat{\theta}$  which maximizes the likelihood

(2)*Bayes*:

Let  $\pi(\theta)$  be a prior distribution for  $\theta$  leading to a posterior  $\pi(\theta|\underline{X})$ 

Let  $L(\theta, \hat{\theta})$  be a loss function. Choose  $\hat{\theta}$  to minimize:  $\int_{\Theta} L(\theta, \hat{\theta}) \pi(\theta | X) d\theta$ 

$$L(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = (\theta - \hat{\theta})^2 \implies \hat{\theta} = E[\theta|X]$$
$$L(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = |\theta - \hat{\theta}| \implies \hat{\theta} = median \ of \pi(\theta|X)$$

### Summary

#### (3) Frequentist:

(a) If possible, find the UMVUE of  $\theta$ 

(b) If (a) hard, use the MLE  $\hat{\theta}$  which is asymptotically unbiased and whose

efficiency  $\rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ 

(1), (2) and (3) may not exist!

#### Example:

*UMVUE*: *Bern*(*p*). Then  $\theta = \frac{p}{1-p} \Longrightarrow$  *UMVUE of*  $\theta$  *does not exist* 

### Summary

- *MLE* and *Bayes* may not be unique, but *UMVUE* is unique.
- *MLE* has invariance property, *UMVUE* and *Bayes* do not.
- *Bayes*: incorporate prior information, but *MLE* and *UMVUE* don't.